



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/855,626	05/15/2001	Harm Jan Willem Belt	PHNL 000297	9253	
24737	7590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS IN	PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			GRIER, LAURA A	
P.O. BOX 30			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
DRIARCLIF	FF MANOR, NY 10510		2644		
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	U	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/855,626	BELT ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Laura A Grier	2644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
		non NOTE halawly	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	·	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i	•	rially raduaing or air	malificians the
issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE:	4!/-\-		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • ——	manata timaal. Eilad	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becarised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by th	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		4. 1	7
Runa Min was		MINEUM COLVA	RVEY SINDR

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the broadest interpretation of the claim language is meet by the prior art of reference as recited in the Final Office Action mailed .